

STRINGY HODGE NUMBERS OF VARIETIES WITH GORENSTEIN CANONICAL SINGULARITIES

V. V. BATYREV

*Mathematisches Institut, Universität Tübingen,
Auf der Morgenstelle 10, D-72076 Tübingen, Germany
E-mail: batyrev@bastau.mathematik.uni-tuebingen.de*

Abstracts

We introduce the notion of stringy E -function for an arbitrary normal irreducible algebraic variety X with at worst log-terminal singularities. We prove some basic properties of stringy E -functions and compute them explicitly for arbitrary $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein toric varieties. Using stringy E -functions, we propose a general method to define stringy Hodge numbers for projective algebraic varieties with at worst Gorenstein canonical singularities. This allows us to formulate the topological mirror duality test for arbitrary Calabi-Yau varieties with canonical singularities. In Appendix we explain non-Archimedean integrals over spaces of arcs. We need these integrals for the proof of the main technical statement used in the definition of stringy Hodge numbers.

1 Introduction

Topological field theories associated with Calabi-Yau manifolds predict the following Hodge-theoretic property of mirror manifolds [30]:

If two smooth d -dimensional Calabi-Yau manifolds V and V^ form a mirror pair, then their Hodge numbers must satisfy the relations*

$$h^{p,q}(V) = h^{d-p,q}(V^*), \quad 0 \leq p, q \leq d. \quad (1)$$

In particular, one has the following equality for the Euler numbers

$$e(V) = (-1)^d e(V^*). \quad (2)$$

The relation (1) is known as a *topological mirror symmetry test* [27]. The physicists' discovery of mirror symmetry has been supported by a lot of examples of mirror pairs (V, V^*) consisting of Calabi-Yau varieties with Gorenstein abelian quotient singularities [21]. Some further examples of mirror pairs (V, V^*) consisting of Calabi-Yau varieties with Gorenstein toroidal singularities have been proposed in [1, 2, 4, 8]. We want to stress that a mathematical formulation of the topological mirror symmetry test for Calabi-Yau varieties with singularities is a priori not clear, because (1) does not hold for usual Hodge numbers of V and V^* if at least one of these Calabi-Yau varieties is not smooth.

Let us illustrate this problem using some simplest examples:

Example 1.1 Let $V \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ be a Fermat quintic 3-fold defined by the equation $f(z) = \sum_{i=0}^4 z_i^5 = 0$. Physicists predict that the mirror of V is isomorphic to the quotient $V^* = V/G$, where $G \cong (\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z})^3$ is maximal diagonal subgroup of $PSL(5, \mathbb{C})$ which acts trivially on the polynomial f . It is easy to check that

$$1 = h^{2,1}(V^*) = h^{2,1}(V^*) = h^{1,1}(V) = h^{2,2}(V).$$

However one has

$$1 = h^{1,1}(V^*) = h^{2,2}(V^*) \neq h^{2,1}(V) = h^{2,1}(V) = 101.$$

In order to obtain the required duality (1), one needs to construct a smooth Calabi-Yau 3-fold $\widehat{V}^*$ by resolving singularities of V^* . It is possible to show that the Hodge numbers $h^{1,1}(\widehat{V}^*) = h^{2,2}(\widehat{V}^*) = 101$ do not depend on the choice of such a desingularization (see [6] for more general result).

Example 1.2 Let $V \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ be a Fermat octic 4-fold defined by the equation $f(z) = z_0^4 + z_1^4 + z_2^8 + z_3^8 + z_4^8 + z_5^8 = 0$. Then physicists predict that the mirror of V is isomorphic to the quotient $V^* = V/G$, where G is maximal diagonal subgroup of $PSL(6, \mathbb{C})$ which acts trivially on the polynomial f . By resolving abelian quotient singularities on V^* , one obtains a smooth Calabi-Yau 4-fold $\widehat{V}^*$ with the Hodge numbers

$$h^{1,1}(\widehat{V}^*) = h^{3,3}(\widehat{V}^*) = 433, \quad h^{2,2}(\widehat{V}^*) = 1820.$$

On the other hand, it is easy to check that

$$h^{3,1}(V) = h^{1,3}(V) = 433, \quad h^{2,2}(V) = 1816.$$

We observe that $h^{2,2}(\widehat{V}^*) - h^{2,2}(V) = 4 \neq 0$. The duality (1) fails, because V has 4 isolated singular points: $z_0^4 + z_1^4 = 0$, $z_2 = z_3 = z_4 = z_5 = 0$. A small neighbourhood of each such a point is analytically isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^4 / \pm id$. Unfortunately, it is impossible to resolve these singularities of V if one wants to keep the canonical class trivial.

The last example shows that we need some new Hodge numbers, so called *string-theoretic Hodge numbers* (or simply *stringy Hodge numbers*) $h_{\text{st}}^{p,q}(X)$ for singular Calabi-Yau varieties X , so that for each mirror pair (V, V^*) of singular Calabi-Yau varieties we would have

$$h_{\text{st}}^{p,q}(V) = h_{\text{st}}^{d-p,q}(V^*), \quad 0 \leq p, q \leq d. \quad (3)$$

(In particular, we would have $h_{\text{st}}^{2,2}(V) = h^{2,2}(V) + 4 = 1820$ in 1.2.)

Let us fix our terminology. In this paper we want to consider the following most general definition of Calabi-Yau varieties with singularities:

Definition 1.3 A normal projective algebraic variety X is called a **Calabi-Yau variety** if X has at worst Gorenstein canonical singularities and the canonical line bundle on X is trivial.

Remark 1.4 One usually requires that the cohomologies of the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X$ of a Calabi-Yau variety X satisfy some additional vanishing conditions

$$h^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0, \quad 0 < i < d = \dim X.$$

However, in the connection with the mirror symmetry, we don't want to restrict ourselves to these additional assumptions.

So far (see [3, 5]), our approach to the topological mirror symmetry test was restricted to the following steps: First, one chooses a class of “allowed” Gorenstein canonical singularities on Calabi-Yau varieties. Second, one defines the notion of *stringy Hodge numbers* $h_{\text{st}}^{p,q}$ for Calabi-Yau with the allowed singularities. Third, one proves (3) for already known examples mirror pairs (V, V^*) of Calabi-Yau varieties with the allowed singularities. For instance, a definition of stringy Hodge numbers for projective algebraic varieties with Gorenstein quotient singularities or with Gorenstein toroidal singularities has been introduced in [3], and all steps of the above program has been successfully accomplished for Calabi-Yau complete intersections in toric varieties in [5].

One could naturally ask whether there exists a way to define stringy Hodge numbers for projective algebraic varieties with *arbitrary* Gorenstein canonical singularities. One of purposes in this paper is to show that in general the answer is “no”. Nevertheless, there always exists a way to formulate the topological mirror symmetry test for Calabi-Yau varieties with arbitrary Gorenstein canonical singularities. Our main idea consists of an association with every projective variety X with at worst Gorenstein singularities a rational function $E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v) \in \mathbb{Z}[[u, v]] \cap \mathbb{Q}(u, v)$, which we call *stringy E-function*. If $E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v)$ is a polynomial, then the coefficients of this polynomial allow us to define the stringy Hodge numbers $h_{\text{st}}^{p,q}(X)$ by the formula

$$E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v) = \sum_{p,q} (-1)^{p+q} h_{\text{st}}^{p,q}(X) u^p v^q.$$

If $E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v)$ is not a polynomial, then we can’t define stringy Hodge numbers of X and say that they *do not exist*. However, even in this bad situation we could think about $E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v)$ as if it were a generating function for Hodge numbers of a smooth projective manifold, i.e., as

$$\sum_{p,q} (-1)^{p+q} h^{p,q} u^p v^q.$$

In particular, we shall see that the function $E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v)$ always satisfies the following Poincaré duality:

$$E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v) = (uv)^d E_{\text{st}}(X; u^{-1}, v^{-1}).$$

For this reason, one can always formulate the topological mirror symmetry test for a mirror pair (V, V^*) of d -dimensional Calabi-Yau varieties with arbitrary singularities as the equality

$$E_{\text{st}}(V; u, v) = (-u)^d E_{\text{st}}(V^*; u^{-1}, v),$$

whenever the stringy Hodge numbers of V and V^* exist or not. This is exactly the formula which has been proved for Calabi-Yau complete intersections in toric varieties [5].

The paper is organized as follows. In section 3 we introduce stringy E -functions and discuss their properties. In section 4 we compute these functions for toric varieties. In section 5 we give further examples of E -functions and formulate some natural open questions. In Appendix we explain the proof of the main technical statement 3.4 which rely upon a non-Archimedean integration over the space of arcs. This part of the paper is strongly influenced by Kontsevich’s idea of *motivic integration* [25] and a recent paper of Denef and Loeser [15].

Acknowledgements: It is my pleasure to thank Professors Yujiro Kawamata, Maxim Kontsevich, Shigefumi Mori, Miles Reid, Yuji Shimizu, Joseph Steenbrink and Duco van Straten for useful discussions concerning this topic and the Taniguchi Foundation for its financial support.

2 Basic definitions and notations

Let X be a normal irreducible algebraic variety of dimension d over $\mathbb{C}$, $Z_{d-1}(X)$ the group of Weil divisors on X , $\text{Div}(X) \subset Z_{d-1}(X)$ the subgroup of Cartier divisors on X , $Z_{d-1}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ the group of Weil divisors on X with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}$, $K_X \in Z_{d-1}(X)$ a canonical divisor of X . We denote by $b_i(X)$ the rank of the i -th cohomology group with compact supports $H_c^i(X, \mathbb{Q})$. By the **usual Euler number** of X we always mean

$$e(X) = \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i b_i(X).$$

The groups $H_c^i(X, \mathbb{C})$, $(0 \leq i \leq 2d)$ carry a natural mixed Hodge structure [11]. We denote by $h^{p,q}(H_c^i(X, \mathbb{C}))$ the dimension of the (p, q) -type Hodge component in $H_c^i(X, \mathbb{C})$ and define the **E -polynomial** by the formula

$$E(X; u, v) := \sum_{p, q} e^{p, q}(X) u^p v^q,$$

where

$$e^{p, q}(X) := \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i h^{p, q}(H_c^i(X, \mathbb{C})).$$

In particular, one has $e(X) = E(X; 1, 1)$.

Remark 2.1 For our purpose, it will be very important that E -polynomials have properties which are very similar to ones of the usual Euler characteristic:

(i) if $X = X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_k$ is a disjoint union of Zariski locally closed strata $X_1, \dots, X_k$, then

$$E(X; u, v) = \sum_{i=1}^k E(X_i; u, v);$$

(ii) if $X = X_1 \times X_2$ is a product of two algebraic varieties X_1 and X_2 , then

$$E(X; u, v) = E(X_1; u, v) \cdot E(X_2; u, v);$$

(iii) if X admits a Zariski locally trivial fibering over Z such that each fiber of $f : X \rightarrow Z$ is isomorphic to affine space $\mathbb{C}^n$, then

$$E(X; u, v) = E(\mathbb{C}^n; u, v) \cdot E(Z; u, v) = (uv)^n E(Z; u, v).$$

Recall the following fundamental definition from the Minimal Model Program [23]:

Definition 2.2 A variety X is said to have at worst **log-terminal** (resp. **canonical**) singularities, if the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) K_X is an element of $\text{Div}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ (i.e. X is $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein).

(ii) for a resolution of singularities $\rho : Y \rightarrow X$ such that the exceptional locus of ρ is a divisor D whose irreducible components $D_1, \dots, D_r$ are smooth divisors with only normal crossings, we have

$$K_Y = \rho^* K_X + \sum_{i=1}^r a_i D_i$$

with $a_i > -1$ (resp. $a_i \geq 0$) for all i , where D_i runs over all irreducible components of D .

3 Stringy Hodge numbers

Definition 3.1 Let X be a normal irreducible algebraic variety with at worst log-terminal singularities, $\rho : Y \rightarrow X$ a resolution of singularities as in 2.2, $D_1, \dots, D_r$ irreducible components of the exceptional locus, and $I := \{1, \dots, r\}$. For any subset $J \subset I$ we set

$$D_J := \begin{cases} \bigcap_{j \in J} D_j & \text{if } J \neq \emptyset \\ Y & \text{if } J = \emptyset \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad D_J^\circ := D_J \setminus \bigcup_{j \in I \setminus J} D_j.$$

We define an algebraic function $E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v)$ in two variables u and v as follows:

$$E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v) := \sum_{J \subset I} E(D_J^\circ; u, v) \prod_{j \in J} \frac{uv - 1}{(uv)^{a_j + 1} - 1}$$

(it is assumed $\prod_{j \in J}$ to be 1, if $J = \emptyset$). We call $E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v)$ **stringy E -function of X** .

Remark 3.2 Since all $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$, but not necessary $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v)$ **is not a rational function** in u, v in general. On the other hand, if all singularities of X are Gorenstein, then the numbers $a_1, \dots, a_r$ are nonnegative integers, hence, $E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v)$ is a **rational function**. Moreover, it is easy to see that $E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v)$ is an element of $\mathbb{Z}[[u, v]] \cap \mathbb{Q}(u, v)$.

Definition 3.3 In the above situation, we call the rational number

$$e_{\text{st}}(X) := \lim_{u, v \rightarrow 1} E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v) = \sum_{J \subset I} e(D_J^\circ) \prod_{j \in J} \frac{1}{a_j + 1}$$

the **stringy Euler number** of X .

For $E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v)$ and $e_{\text{st}}(X)$ to be well-defined we need the following main technical statement:

Theorem 3.4 *The function $E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v)$ doesn't depend on the choice of a resolution $\rho : Y \rightarrow X$, if all irreducible components of the exceptional divisor D are normal crossing divisors. Moreover, it is enough to demand the normal crossing condition only for those irreducible components D_i of D for which $a_i \neq 0$ (see the formula in 2.2).*

Remark 3.5 A complete proof of this statement will be postponed to Appendix. Its main idea, due to Kontsevich, is based on an interpretation of the formula for $E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v)$ as a some sort of a “motivic non-Archimedean integral” over the space of arcs $J_\infty(Y)$ which imitates properties of p -adic integrals over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -points of algebraic schemes over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ (see [12]). Some details of this idea can be found in papers of Denef and Loeser [15, 16] (see also [13, 14]).

Corollary 3.6 *If X is smooth, then $E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v) = E(X; u, v)$.*

Our next statement shows that although $E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v)$ is not necessary a polynomial, or even a rational function, it satisfies the Poincaré duality as well as usual E -polynomials of smooth projective varieties.

Theorem 3.7 (POINCARÉ DUALITY) *Let X be a projective $\mathbf{Q}$ -Gorenstein algebraic variety of dimension d with at worst log-terminal singularities. Then $E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v)$ has the following properties:*

(i)

$$E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v) = (uv)^d E_{\text{st}}(X; u^{-1}, v^{-1});$$

(ii)

$$E_{\text{st}}(X; 0, 0) = 1.$$

Proof. (i) Let $\rho : Y \rightarrow X$ be a resolution with the normal crossing exceptional divisors $D_1, \dots, D_r$. Using the stratification

$$D_J = \bigcup_{J' \subset J} D_{J'},$$

we obtain

$$E(D_J; u, v) = \sum_{J' \subset J} E(D_{J'}^\circ; u, v).$$

Applying the last equality to each closed stratum $D_{J'}$, we come to the following formula

$$E(D_J^\circ; u, v) = \sum_{J' \subset J} (-1)^{|J| - |J'|} E(D_{J'}; u, v).$$

Now we can rewrite the formula for $E(X; u, v)$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v) &= \sum_{J \subset I} \left(\sum_{J' \subset J} (-1)^{|J| - |J'|} E(D_{J'}; u, v) \right) \prod_{j \in J} \frac{uv - 1}{(uv)^{a_j + 1} - 1} = \\ &= \sum_{J \subset I} E(D_J; u, v) \prod_{j \in J} \left(\frac{uv - 1}{(uv)^{a_j + 1} - 1} - 1 \right) = \sum_{J \subset I} E(D_J; u, v) \prod_{j \in J} \left(\frac{uv - (uv)^{a_j + 1}}{(uv)^{a_j + 1} - 1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

It remains to observe that each term of the last sum satisfies the required duality, because

$$(uv)^{|J|} \prod_{j \in J} \left(\frac{(uv)^{-1} - (uv)^{-a_j - 1}}{(uv)^{-a_j - 1} - 1} \right) = \prod_{j \in J} \left(\frac{uv - (uv)^{a_j + 1}}{(uv)^{a_j + 1} - 1} \right)$$

and

$$(uv)^{d - |J|} E(D_J; u^{-1}, v^{-1}) = E(D_J; u, v)$$

(the last equation follows from the Poincaré duality for smooth projective subvarieties $D_J \subset Y$ of dimension $d - |J|$).

(ii) By substitution $u = v = 0$ in the expression for $E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v)$ obtained in the proof of (i), we get

$$E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v) = E(Y; 0, 0).$$

It remains to use the equality $E(Y; 0, 0) = 1$, which follows from the Poincaré duality for Y . $\square$

Definition 3.8 Let X be a projective algebraic variety with at worst Gorenstein canonical singularities. Assume that $E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v)$ is a polynomial: $E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v) = \sum_{p, q} a_{p, q} u^p v^q$. Then we define the **stringy Hodge numbers** of X to be

$$h_{\text{st}}^{p, q}(X) := (-1)^{p+q} a_{p, q}.$$

Remark 3.9 It follows immediately from 3.7 that if $E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v)$ is a polynomial, then the degree of $E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v)$ is equal to $2d$. Moreover, in this case one has $h_{\text{st}}^{0,0}(X) = h_{\text{st}}^{d,d}(X) = 1$ and $h_{\text{st}}^{p,q}(X)$ are integers satisfying $h_{\text{st}}^{p,q}(X) = h_{\text{st}}^{q,p}(X) \forall p, q$.

Conjecture 3.10 *Let X be a projective algebraic variety with at worst Gorenstein canonical singularities. Assume that $E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v)$ is a polynomial. Then all stringy Hodge numbers $h_{\text{st}}^{p,q}(X)$ are nonnegative.*

Now we consider a geometric approach to a computation of stringy Hodge numbers.

Definition 3.11 Let X be a projective algebraic variety with at worst canonical Gorenstein singularities. A birational projective morphism $\rho : Y \rightarrow X$ is called a **crepant desingularization** of X if Y is smooth and $\rho^*K_X = K_Y$.

Theorem 3.12 *Assume that a $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein algebraic variety with at worst log-terminal singularities X admits a projective birational morphism $\rho : Y \rightarrow X$ such that $\rho^*K_X = K_Y$. Then $E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v) = E_{\text{st}}(Y; u, v)$. In particular, if ρ is a crepant desingularization (i.e. if Y is smooth), then $E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v) = E(Y; u, v)$ and therefore $h_{\text{st}}^{p,q}(X) = h^{p,q}(Y) \forall p, q$.*

Proof. Let $\alpha : Z \rightarrow Y$ be a resolution of singularities of Y such that the exceptional locus of the birational morphism α is a divisor D with normal crossing irreducible components $D_1, \dots, D_r$. We have

$$K_Z = \alpha^*K_Y + \sum_{i=1}^r a_i D_i.$$

The composition $\alpha \circ \rho : Z \rightarrow X$ is a resolution of singularities of X and we have

$$K_Z = \alpha^*(\rho^*K_X) + \sum_{i=1}^r a_i D_i,$$

since $\rho^*K_X = K_Y$. Denote by D' the exceptional locus of $\alpha \circ \rho$. Then $\text{Supp } D' \supset \text{Supp } D$, i.e., if one writes

$$K_Z = \alpha^*(\rho^*K_X) + \sum_{i=1}^s a'_i D'_i,$$

where $D'_1, \dots, D'_s$ are irreducible components of D' , then $a'_j = 0$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ such that $D'_j \subset \text{Supp } D' \setminus \text{Supp } D$. By 3.4, we obtain the same formulas for the E -functions of Y and X in terms of the desingularizations $\rho \circ \alpha$ and α , i.e., $E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v) = E_{\text{st}}(Y; u, v)$. The last statement of this theorem follows immediately from 3.6. $\square$

Remark 3.13 Let X be an n -dimensional Calabi-Yau variety in the sense of 1.3. In [7] we have shown that

$$\frac{d^2}{du^2} E_{\text{st}}(X; u, 1)|_{u=1} = \frac{3n^2 - 5n}{12} e_{\text{st}}(X).$$

This identity of the above type for smooth varieties X has appeared in the papers of T. Eguchi et. al [17, 18] in the connection with the Virasoro algebra. If X is smooth, then the above identity follows from the Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch formula (see [9, 26]). By 3.12, this immediately implies the identity for any X which admits a crepant desingularization. If X is a $K3$ -surface, then the

identity is equivalent to the equality $e(X) = 24$. We remark that for smooth Calabi-Yau 4-folds X with $h^{1,0}(X) = h^{2,0}(X) = h^{3,0}(X) = 0$ the identity is equivalent to the equality

$$e(X) = 6(8 - h^{1,1}(X) + h^{2,1}(X) - h^{3,1}(X))$$

(see also [29]).

4 Stringy E -function of toric varieties

Let us consider the case when X is a normal d -dimensional $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein toric variety associated with a rational polyhedral fan $\Sigma \subset N_{\mathbb{R}} = N \otimes \mathbb{R}$, where N is a free abelian group of rank d . Denote by X_{σ} the torus orbit in X corresponding to a cone σ ($\text{codim}_X X_{\sigma} = \dim \sigma$). Then the property of X to be $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein is equivalent to existence of a continuous function $\varphi_K : N_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ satisfying the conditions

- (i) $\varphi_K(e) = 1$, if e is a primitive integral generator of a 1-dimensional cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$
- (ii) φ_K is linear on each cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$.

The following statement is well-known in toric geometry (see e.g. [23], Prop. 5-2-2):

Proposition 4.1 *Let $\rho : X' \rightarrow X$ be a toric desingularization of X , which is defined by a subdivision Σ' of the fan Σ . Then the irreducible components $D_1, \dots, D_r$ of the exceptional divisor D of the birational morphism ρ have only normal crossings and they one-to-one correspond to primitive integral generators $e'_1, \dots, e'_r$ of those 1-dimensional cones $\sigma' \in \Sigma'$ which do not belong to Σ . Moreover, in the formula*

$$K_{X'} = \rho^* K_X + \sum_{i=1}^r a_i D_i,$$

one has $a_i = \varphi_K(e'_i) - 1 \ \forall i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$.

Corollary 4.2 *Any normal $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein toric variety has at worst log-terminal singularities.*

Let σ° be the relative interior of σ (we put $\sigma^{\circ} = 0$, if $\sigma = 0$). We give the following explicit formula for the function $E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v)$:

Theorem 4.3

$$E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v) = (uv - 1)^d \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \sum_{n \in \sigma^{\circ} \cap N} (uv)^{-\varphi_K(n)}.$$

Proof. Let $\rho : X' \rightarrow X$ be a toric desingularization of X defined by a regular simplicial subdivision Σ' of the fan Σ , $D_1, \dots, D_r$ the irreducible components of the exceptional divisor D of ρ corresponding to primitive integral generators $e'_1, \dots, e'_r \in N$, and $I = \{1, \dots, r\}$. First of all we remark that the condition $\bigcap_{j \in J} D_j \neq \emptyset$ for some nonempty $J \subset I$ is equivalent to the fact that

$$\sigma_J := \left\{ \sum_{j \in J} \lambda_j e'_j \mid \lambda_j \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \right\}$$

is a cone of Σ' . Let $\Sigma'(J)$ be the star of the cone $\sigma_J \subset \Sigma'$, i.e., $\Sigma'(J)$ consists of the cones $\sigma' \in \Sigma'$ such that $\sigma' \supset \sigma_J$. We denote by $\Sigma'_o(J)$ the subfan of $\Sigma'(J)$ consisting of those cones $\sigma' \in \Sigma'(J)$ which do not contain any e'_i where $i \notin J$. Then the fan $\Sigma'(J)$ defines the toric subvariety $D_J \subset X'$ and the fan $\Sigma'_o(J)$ defines the open subset $D_J^\circ \subset D_J$. The canonical stratification by torus orbits

$$X' = \bigcup_{\sigma' \in \Sigma'} X'_{\sigma'}$$

induces the following stratifications

$$D_J^\circ = \bigcup_{\sigma' \in \Sigma'_o(J)} X'_{\sigma'}, \quad \emptyset \neq J \subset I.$$

So we have

$$E(D_J^\circ; u, v) = \sum_{\sigma' \in \Sigma'_o(J)} (uv - 1)^{d - \dim \sigma'} \quad \emptyset \neq J \subset I. \quad (4)$$

Let $\Sigma'(\emptyset)$ be the subfan of Σ' consisting of those cones $\sigma' \in \Sigma'$ which do not contain any element of $\{e'_1, \dots, e'_r\}$. Then $\Sigma'(\emptyset)$ defines the canonical stratification of

$$X' \setminus D = \sum_{\sigma' \in \Sigma'(\emptyset)} X'_{\sigma'}.$$

In particular, one has

$$E(X' \setminus D; u, v) = \sum_{\sigma' \in \Sigma'(\emptyset)} (uv - 1)^{d - \dim \sigma'}. \quad (5)$$

The smoothness of X' implies that $\sigma_J \cap N$ is a free semigroup with the basis $\{e'_j\}_{j \in J}$. For this reason we can express the power series

$$\sum_{n \in \sigma_J^\circ \cap N} (uv)^{-\varphi_K(n)}$$

as a product of $|J|$ geometric series:

$$\sum_{n \in \sigma_J^\circ \cap N} (uv)^{-\varphi_K(n)} = \prod_{j \in J} \frac{(uv)^{-\varphi_K(e'_j)}}{1 - (uv)^{-\varphi_K(e'_j)}} = \prod_{j \in J} \frac{(uv)^{-a_j - 1}}{1 - (uv)^{-a_j - 1}} = \prod_{j \in J} \frac{1}{(uv)^{a_j + 1} - 1}.$$

Hence,

$$\prod_{j \in J} \frac{uv - 1}{(uv)^{a_j + 1} - 1} = (uv - 1)^{|J|} \sum_{n \in \sigma_J^\circ \cap N} (uv)^{-\varphi_K(n)}. \quad (6)$$

Combining (4), (5) and (6), we come to the formula

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v) &= E(X \setminus D; u, v) + \sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subset I} E(D_J^\circ; u, v) (uv - 1)^{|J|} \left(\sum_{n \in \sigma_J^\circ \cap N} (uv)^{-\varphi_K(n)} \right) = \\ &= \sum_{\sigma' \in \Sigma'(\emptyset)} (uv - 1)^{d - \dim \sigma'} + \sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subset I} \left(\sum_{\sigma' \in \Sigma'_o(J)} (uv - 1)^{d + |J| - \dim \sigma'} \right) \left(\sum_{n \in \sigma_J^\circ \cap N} (uv)^{-\varphi_K(n)} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Note that

$$\Sigma' = \Sigma(\emptyset) \cup \bigcup_{\emptyset \neq J \subset I} \Sigma'_o(J).$$

If $\sigma' = \{\lambda_1 e'_{i_1} + \dots + \lambda_k e'_{i_k} \mid \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\}$ is a k -dimensional cone of $\Sigma'(\emptyset)$, then the value of φ_K is 1 on all elements of $\{e'_{i_1}, \dots, e'_{i_k}\}$ and therefore

$$(uv - 1)^d \sum_{n \in (\sigma')^\circ \cap N} (uv)^{-\varphi_K(n)} = (uv - 1)^d \left(\frac{(uv)^{-1}}{1 - (uv)^{-1}} \right)^k = (uv - 1)^{d-k}.$$

On the other hand, if $\sigma' = \{\lambda_1 e'_{i_1} + \dots + \lambda_k e'_{i_k} \mid \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\}$ is a k -dimensional cone of $\Sigma'(J)$ ($\emptyset \neq J \subset I$), then

$$\begin{aligned} (uv - 1)^d \sum_{n \in (\sigma')^\circ \cap N} (uv)^{-\varphi_K(n)} &= (uv - 1)^d \left(\frac{(uv)^{-1}}{1 - (uv)^{-1}} \right)^{k-|J|} \sum_{n \in \sigma_J^\circ \cap N} (uv)^{-\varphi_K(n)} = \\ &= (uv - 1)^{d+|J|-k} \sum_{n \in \sigma_J^\circ \cap N} (uv)^{-\varphi_K(n)}, \end{aligned}$$

since the value of φ_K is 1 on all elements of $\{e'_{i_1}, \dots, e'_{i_k}\} \setminus \{e'_j\}_{j \in J}$. It remains to apply (7) and use the fact that Σ' is a subdivision of Σ , i.e.,

$$\bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \sigma^\circ \cap N = \bigcup_{\sigma' \in \Sigma'} (\sigma')^\circ \cap N.$$

□

Proposition 4.4 *If all singularities of a toric variety X are Gorenstein, then $E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v)$ is a polynomial.*

Proof. According to [28], X is Gorenstein if and only if $\varphi_K(n) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $n \in N$. By 4.3, it is sufficient to prove that

$$(uv - 1)^d \sum_{n \in \sigma^\circ \cap N} (uv)^{-\varphi_K(n)}$$

is a polynomial in uv for any $\sigma \in \Sigma$. The latter follows from the fact that

$$(1 - t)^{\dim \sigma} \sum_{n \in \sigma^\circ \cap N} t^{\varphi_K(n)} = T(\sigma, t)$$

is a polynomial in t of degree $\dim \sigma$ [10].

□

Recall the following definition introduced by M. Reid [28](4.2):

Definition 4.5 Let X be an arbitrary d -dimensional normal $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein toric variety defined by a fan Σ . Denote by $\Sigma^{(d)}$ the set of all d -dimensional cones in Σ . If $\sigma \in \Sigma^{(d)}$ is an arbitrary cone, then define **shed of σ** to be the pyramid

$$\text{shed } \sigma = \sigma \cap \{y \in N_{\mathbb{R}} : \varphi_K(y) \leq 1\}.$$

Furthermore, define **shed of Σ** to be

$$\text{shed } \Sigma = \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma^{(d)}} \text{shed } \sigma.$$

Definition 4.6 Let $\sigma \in \Sigma^{(d)}$ be an arbitrary cone. Define $\text{vol}(\sigma)$ to be the volume of shed σ with respect to the lattice $N \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$ multiplied by $d!$. We set

$$\text{vol}(\Sigma) := \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma^{(d)}} \text{vol}(\sigma).$$

Remark 4.7 It is easy to see that $\text{vol}(\sigma)$ is a positive integer. Moreover, $\text{vol}(\sigma) = 1$ if and only if σ is generated by a $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of N .

Definition 4.8 Let X, X' and X'' be normal d -dimensional $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein toric varieties. Assume that we are given two equivariant projective birational morphisms $g : X \rightarrow X''$ and $h : X' \rightarrow X''$ such that K_X^{-1} is g -ample, $K_{X'}$ is h -ample, and both g and h are isomorphisms in codimension 1. Then the birational rational map $f = h^{-1} \circ g : X \dashrightarrow X'$ is called a **toric flip**.

The next statement playing important role in termination of toric flips is due to M. Reid (see p. 417 in [28]):

Proposition 4.9 *Let $f : X \dashrightarrow X'$ be a toric flip of arbitrary $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein toric varieties X and X' associated with fans Σ and Σ' . Then*

$$\text{vol}(\Sigma) > \text{vol}(\Sigma').$$

Now we observe the following:

Proposition 4.10 *Let X be an arbitrary normal $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein toric variety defined by a fan Σ . Then the stringy Euler number $e_{\text{st}}(X)$ equals $\text{vol}(\Sigma)$. In particular, one always has $e_{\text{st}}(X) \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. By 3.12, it is enough to prove the statement only for the case of a simplicial fan Σ (one can always subdivide an arbitrary fan Σ into a simplicial fan Σ' such that $\text{shed } \Sigma = \text{shed } \Sigma'$). Let $\sigma \in \Sigma$ be a d -dimensional simplicial cone with primitive lattice generators $e_1, \dots, e_d$. Then $\text{vol}(\sigma)$ is equal to the index of the subgroup in N generated by $e_1, \dots, e_d$. By a direct computation, one obtains that

$$\lim_{u,v \rightarrow 1} (uv - 1)^d \sum_{n \in \sigma^\circ \cap N} (uv)^{-\varphi_K(n)} = \text{vol}(\sigma).$$

Now if $\sigma \in \Sigma$ be a k -dimensional simplicial cone with primitive lattice generators $e_1, \dots, e_k$ ($k < n$). Then, as in the previous case $k = d$, we compute that

$$\lim_{u,v \rightarrow 1} (uv - 1)^k \sum_{n \in \sigma^\circ \cap N} (uv)^{-\varphi_K(n)} = \text{vol}(\sigma)$$

is a positive integer. Therefore,

$$\lim_{u,v \rightarrow 1} (uv - 1)^d \sum_{n \in \sigma^\circ \cap N} (uv)^{-\varphi_K(n)} = \text{vol}(\sigma) \lim_{u,v \rightarrow 1} (uv - 1)^{d-k} = 0.$$

It remains to apply 4.3. □

Corollary 4.11 *Let $f : X \dashrightarrow X'$ be a toric flip of arbitrary $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein toric varieties X and X' . Then*

$$e_{\text{st}}(X) > e_{\text{st}}(X').$$

5 Further examples and open questions

Example 5.1 Let X_0 be a $(d-1)$ -dimensional smooth projective Fano variety with a very ample line bundle L such that $L^{\otimes k} \cong K_{X_0}^{-l}$ for some positive integers k, l . We define X to be the cone over X_0 in a projective embedding defined by L , i.e., X is obtained by the contraction to a singular point $p \in X$ of the section D in the $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle $Y := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{X_0} \oplus L)$ corresponding to the embedding $\mathcal{O}_{X_0} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_0} \oplus L$.

Let us compute the function $E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v)$ by considering the contraction morphism $\rho : Y \rightarrow X$ as a desingularization of X . By a direct computation, one obtains

$$K_Y = \rho^* K_X + \left(\frac{k}{l} - 1 \right) D,$$

i.e., X has at worst log-terminal singularities. Since the stratum $X \setminus p = Y \setminus D$ is isomorphic to the total space of the line bundle L over X_0 , we have

$$E(X \setminus p; u, v) = (uv)E(X_0; u, v).$$

Using the isomorphism $X_0 \cong D$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v) &= E(X \setminus p; u, v) + \frac{uv - 1}{(uv)^{k/l} - 1} E(X_0; u, v) = \\ &= E(X_0; u, v) \left(uv + \frac{uv - 1}{(uv)^{k/l} - 1} \right) = \frac{(uv)^{k/l + 1} - 1}{(uv)^{k/l} - 1} E(X_0; u, v). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, one has

$$e_{\text{st}}(X) = \frac{k + l}{k} e(X_0).$$

Example 5.2 It is not true in general that $E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v)$ is a polynomial even if X has at worst Gorenstein canonical singularities: Let us take X_0 in Example 5.1 to be a smooth quadric of dimension $d-1 \geq 2$. Then $k = d-1$, $l = 1$ and

$$E(X_0; u, v) = \begin{cases} \frac{(1 + (uv)^{\frac{d-1}{2}})((uv)^{\frac{d+1}{2}} - 1)}{uv - 1} & \text{if } d-1 \text{ is even} \\ \frac{(uv)^d - 1}{uv - 1} & \text{if } d-1 \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

Hence, for the quadric cone X over X_0 we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v) &= \begin{cases} \left(\frac{(uv)^d - 1}{(uv)^{d-1} - 1} \right) \left(\frac{(1 + (uv)^{\frac{d-1}{2}})((uv)^{\frac{d+1}{2}} - 1)}{uv - 1} \right) & \text{if } d-1 \text{ is even,} \\ \left(\frac{(uv)^d - 1}{(uv)^{d-1} - 1} \right) \left(\frac{(uv)^d - 1}{uv - 1} \right) & \text{if } d-1 \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \\ e_{\text{st}}(X) &= \begin{cases} \frac{d(d+1)}{d-1} & \text{if } d-1 \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{d^2}{d-1} & \text{if } d-1 \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The function $E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v)$ is not a polynomial and $e_{\text{st}}(X) \notin \mathbb{Z}$ for $d \geq 4$. In particular, stringy Hodge numbers of X do not exist if $d \geq 4$. If $d = 3$, then $E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v)$ equals $(uv+1)((uv)^2+uv+1)$ and we obtain $h^{1,1}(X) = h^{2,2}(X) = 2$, $e_{\text{st}}(X) = 6$.

Example 5.3 Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^4$ be a 3-dimensional hypersurface defined by the equation $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + t^3 = 0$, $\rho_0 : V_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^4$ the blow up of the point $q = (0, 0, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^4$, and $X_0 := \rho_0^{-1}(X)$. Then X_0 is smooth and the exceptional locus of the birational morphism $\rho_0 : X_0 \rightarrow X$ consists of an irreducible divisor $D_0 \subset X_0$ which is isomorphic to a singular quadric $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ defined by the equation $z_0^2 - z_1 z_2 = 0$. Denote by $p_0 \in D_0$ the unique singular point in D_0 . Let $\rho_1 : Y \rightarrow X_0$ be the blow up of p_0 on X_0 , D_1 the birational transform of D_0 , and $D_2 = \rho_1^{-1}(p_0)$. Then the composition $\rho = \rho_1 \circ \rho_0 : Y \rightarrow X$ is a resolution of X with normal crossing divisors D_1, D_2 . The unique singularity $q \in X$ is terminal. On the other hand, one has

$$K_Y = \rho^* K_X + 1 \cdot D_1 + 2 \cdot D_2,$$

$E(D_\emptyset; u, v) = (uv)^3 - 1$, $E(D_1^\circ; u, v) = (uv+1)uv$, $E(D_2^\circ; u, v) = (uv)^2$, $E(D_{\{1,2\}}; u, v) = uv+1$. Hence, the stringy E -function of the Gorenstein variety X is the following

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v) &= (uv)^3 - 1 + (uv+1)uv \frac{uv-1}{(uv)^2-1} + (uv)^2 \frac{uv-1}{(uv)^3-1} + (uv+1) \frac{(uv-1)^2}{((uv)^2-1)((uv)^3-1)} = \\ &= (uv)^2 \frac{(uv)^3 + (uv)^2 + 2uv + 1}{(uv)^2 + uv + 1}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v)$ is not a polynomial and $e_{\text{st}}(X) = 5/3 \notin \mathbb{Z}$.

Remark 5.4 It is known that canonical Gorenstein singularities of surfaces are exactly ADE -rational double points which always admit crepant desingularizations. By 3.12, $E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v)$ is a polynomial and $e_{\text{st}}(X) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for arbitrary algebraic surface X with at worst canonical singularities. One can prove that $e_{\text{st}}(X) \in \mathbb{Z}$ also for arbitrary algebraic surface X with at worst log-terminal singularities.

Question 5.5 Let X be a geometric quotient of $\mathbb{C}^n$ modulo an action of a semisimple subgroup $G \subset SL(n, \mathbb{C})$. Is it true that $E(X; u, v)$ is a polynomial?

Remark 5.6 The answer is known to be positive if G is commutative (X is a Gorenstein toric variety), or if G is finite (see [3]).

Conjecture 5.7 Let $f : X \dashrightarrow Y$ be a flip of two projective 3-dimensional varieties with log-terminal singularities. Is it true that $e_{\text{st}}(X) > e_{\text{st}}(Y)$?

Remark 5.8 As we observed in 4.11, the statement holds true if X and Y are toric varieties and f is a toric flip.

Conjecture 5.9 Let X be a d -dimensional algebraic variety with at worst Gorenstein canonical singularities. Then the denominator of the rational number $e_{\text{st}}(X)$ is bounded by a constant $C(d)$ depending only on d .

Remark 5.10 In Example 5.1, it follows from the boundedness of the Fano index $k \leq \dim X_0 + 1 = d$ that $e_{\text{st}}(X) \in \frac{1}{d!}\mathbb{Z}$. We expect that if $\dim X = 3$, then $e_{\text{st}}(X) \in \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}$, where $n \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6\}$. Some evidences for that can be found in [24].

6 Appendix: non-Archimedean integrals

Let X be a smooth n -dimensional complex manifold, $p \in X$ a point, and $\Delta_0 := \{z : |z| < \delta\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ a disc with center 0 of any small radius δ . Two germs of holomorphic mappings $y_1, y_2 : \Delta_0 \rightarrow X$ such that $y_1(0) = y_2(0) = p$ are called **l -equivalent** if their derivatives in 0 coincide up to order l . The set of l -equivalent germs is denoted by $J_l(X, p)$ and called the **jet space of order l at p** (see [20], Part A). It is well-known that the union

$$J_l(X) = \bigcup_{p \in X} J_l(X, p)$$

is a complex manifold of dimension $(l+1)n$, which is a holomorphic affine bundle over X (but not a vector bundle for $l \geq 2$!). The complex manifold $J_l(X)$ is called the **jet space of order l of X** . There are canonical mappings $j_l : J_{l+1}(X) \rightarrow J_l(X)$ ($l \geq 0$) whose fibers are isomorphic to affine linear spaces $\mathbb{C}^n$. We denote by $J_\infty(X)$ the projective limit of $J_l(X)$ and by π_l the canonical projection $J_\infty(X) \rightarrow J_l(X)$. The space $J_\infty(X)$ is known as the **space of arcs of X** . We denote by $J_\infty(X, p)$ the projective limit of $J_l(X, p)$ and call it **set of arcs at p** .

Let R be the formal power series ring $\mathbb{C}[[t]]$, i.e., the inverse limit of finite dimensional $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras $R_l := \mathbb{C}[t]/(t^{l+1})$. If X is n -dimensional smooth quasi-projective algebraic variety over $\mathbb{C}$, then the set of points in $J_\infty(X)$ (resp. $J_l(X)$) coincides with the set of R -valued (resp. R_l -valued) points of X .

From now on we shall consider only the spaces $J_\infty(X)$, where X is a smooth quasi-projective algebraic variety. In this case, $J_l(X)$ is a smooth quasi-projective algebraic variety for all $l \geq 0$.

Our further terminology is influenced by the theory of Gaussian measures in infinite dimensional linear topological spaces (see the book of Gelfand and Vilenkin [19], Ch. IV).

Definition 6.1 A set $C \subset J_\infty(X)$ is called **cylinder set** if there exists a positive integer l such that $C = \pi_l^{-1}(B_l(C))$ for some constructible subset $B_l(C) \subset J_l(X)$ (i.e., for a finite union of Zariski locally closed subsets). Such a constructible subset $B_l(C)$ will be called the **l -base of C** . By definition, the empty set $\subset J_\infty(X)$ is a cylinder set and its l -base in $J_l(X)$ is assumed to be empty for all $l \geq 0$.

Remark 6.2 Let $C \subset J_\infty(X)$ be a cylinder set with an l -base $B_l(X)$.

(i) It is clear that $B_{l+1}(C) := j_l^{-1}(B_l(C)) \subset J_{l+1}(X)$ is the $(l+1)$ -base of C and $B_{l+1}(X)$ is a Zariski locally trivial affine bundle over $B_l(C)$, whose fibers are isomorphic to the affine space $\mathbb{C}^n$.

(ii) Using (i), it is a standard exercise to show that finite unions, intersection and complements of cylinder sets are again cylinder sets.

Next statement follows straightforward from the definition of jet spaces of order l :

Proposition 6.3 *Let $p \in X$ be a point of a smooth complex quasi-projective algebraic n -fold X and $z_1, \dots, z_n$ are local holomorphic coordinates at p . Then the local coordinate functions $z_1, \dots, z_n$ define canonical isomorphisms of quasi-projective algebraic varieties*

$$J_l(X, p) \cong J_l(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \quad \forall l \geq 0.$$

In particular, one obtains a canonical isomorphism of algebraic pro-varieties

$$J_\infty(X, p) \cong J_\infty(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$$

which induces a bijection between cylinder sets in $J_\infty(X, p)$ and cylinder sets in $J_\infty(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$, where $J_\infty(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ can be identified with the set of all n -tuples of formal power series $(p_1(t), \dots, p_n(t)) \in R^n$ having the property $(p_1(0), \dots, p_n(0)) = (0, \dots, 0)$.

Corollary 6.4 *For any point $c = (c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, there exists a canonical isomorphism of algebraic pro-varieties*

$$J_\infty(\mathbb{C}^n, c) \cong J_\infty(\mathbb{C}^n)$$

which is defined by the mapping

$$\varphi_c : (x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t)) \mapsto ((t - c_1)^{-1}x_1(t), \dots, (t - c_n)^{-1}x_n(t)).$$

The mapping φ_c induces a bijection between cylinder sets in $J_\infty(\mathbb{C}^n, c)$ and cylinder sets in $J_\infty(\mathbb{C}^n)$.

Recall the following property of constructible sets (cf. [22], Cor. 7.2.6):

Proposition 6.5 *Let $K_1 \supset K_2 \supset \dots$ be an infinite decreasing sequence of constructible subsets of a complex algebraic variety V . Assume that K_i is nonempty for all $i \geq 1$. Then*

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} K_i \neq \emptyset.$$

Proof. Denote by $\overline{K}_i$ the Zariski closure of K_i . By noetherian property, there exists a positive integer m such that $\overline{K}_i = \overline{K}_{i+1}$ for all $i \geq m$. Let Z be an irreducible component of $\overline{K}_m$. Then for any $i \geq m$ there exists a nonempty Zariski open subset $U_i \subset Z$ such that U_i is contained in K_i . By theorem of Baire, $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} K_i \neq \emptyset$. $\square$

The following property of cylinder sets will be important:

Theorem 6.6 *Assume that a cylinder set $C \subset J_\infty(X)$ is contained in a countable union $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i$ of cylinder sets C_i . Then there exists a positive integer m such that $C \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^m C_i$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume that all C_i are contained in C (otherwise we could consider cylinder sets $C \cap C_i$ instead of C_i). Define new cylinder sets

$$Z_k := C \setminus (C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_k), \quad (k \geq 1).$$

Thus, we obtain a decreasing sequence

$$Z_1 \supset Z_2 \supset Z_3 \supset \dots$$

of cylinder sets whose intersection is empty. Assume that none of sets $Z_1, Z_2, \dots$ is empty. Then there exists an increasing sequence of positive integers $l_1 < l_2 < l_3 < \dots$ such that $Z_k = \pi_{l_k}^{-1}(B_{l_k}(Z_k))$ for some nonempty constructible sets $B_{l_k}(Z_k) \subset J_{l_k}(X)$ for all $k \geq 1$. Then $\pi_l(Z_k) \neq \emptyset$ for all $l \geq 0, k \geq 1$. By theorem of Chevalley, $\pi_l(Z_k) \subset J_l(X)$ is constructible for all $l \geq 0, k \geq 1$. On the other hand, using 6.5, we obtain

$$B_l := \bigcap_{k \geq 1} \pi_l(Z_k) \neq \emptyset, \quad \forall l \geq 0.$$

Since $B_0 \neq \emptyset$, we can choose a closed point $p \in B_0$ and set

$$Z_k(p) := Z_k \cap J_\infty(X, p).$$

Then the cylinder sets $Z_k(p)$ are nonempty for all $k \geq 1$ and form a decreasing sequence

$$Z_1(p) \supset Z_2(p) \supset Z_3(p) \supset \cdots$$

whose intersection is empty. Now we show that the last property leads to a contradiction.

By 6.3, we can restrict ourselves to the case $X = \mathbb{C}^n$ and $p = p_0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Using the isomorphism

$$\varphi_{p_0} : J_\infty(\mathbb{C}^n, p_0) \cong J_\infty(\mathbb{C}^n) \quad (\text{see 6.4}),$$

and the induced bijection between cylinder sets in $J_\infty(\mathbb{C}^n, p_0)$ and $J_\infty(\mathbb{C}^n)$, we can repeat the same arguments for $J_\infty(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and choose a next point $p_1 \in J_1(\mathbb{C}^n, p_0) \cong J_0(\mathbb{C}^n)$ such that $p_1 \in B_1$ and $j_0(p_1) = p_0$. By induction, we obtain an infinite sequence of points $p_l \in B_l$ such that $j_l(p_{l+1}) = p_l$. It remains to show that the projective limit of $\{p_l\}_{l \geq 0}$ is a point $q \in J_\infty(\mathbb{C}^n, p_0)$ which is contained in all cylinder sets Z_k ($k \geq 1$). Indeed, we have $p_{l_i} \in B_{l_k} \subset \pi_{l_k}(Z_k) = B_{l_k}(Z_k)$. Since Z_k is a cylinder set with the l_k -base $B_{l_k}(Z_k)$ and $\pi_{l_k}(q) = p_{l_k}$, we obtain $q \in Z_k$. Therefore, $q \in \bigcap_{k \geq 1} Z_k \neq \emptyset$. Contradiction. $\square$

Definition 6.7 Let $\mathbb{Z}[\tau^{\pm 1}]$ be the Laurent polynomial ring in τ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$ and A the group algebra of $(\mathbb{Q}, +)$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[\tau^{\pm 1}]$. We denote by $\theta^s \in A$ the image of $s \in \mathbb{Q}$ under the natural homomorphism $(\mathbb{Q}, +) \rightarrow (A^*, \cdot)$, where A^* is the multiplicative group of invertible elements in A ($\theta \in A$ is considered as a transcendental element over $\mathbb{Z}[\tau^{\pm 1}]$). We also write

$$A := \mathbb{Z}[\tau^{\pm 1}][\theta^{\mathbb{Q}}]$$

and identify the ring A with the direct limit of the subrings $A_N := \mathbb{Z}[\tau^{\pm 1}][\theta^{\frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}}] \subset A$, where N runs over the set of all natural numbers.

Definition 6.8 We consider a topology on A defined by the **non-Archimedean norm**

$$\|\cdot\| : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$$

which is uniquely characterised by the properties:

- (i) $\|ab\| = \|a\| \cdot \|b\|$, $\forall a, b \in A$;
- (ii) $\|a + b\| = \max\{\|a\|, \|b\|\}$, $\forall a, b \in A$ if $\|a\| \neq \|b\|$;
- (ii) $\|a\| = 1$, $\forall a \in \mathbb{Z}[\tau^{\pm 1}] \setminus \{0\}$;
- (iii) $\|\theta^s\| = e^{-s}$ if $s \in \mathbb{Q}$.

The **completion** of A (resp. of A_N) with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|$ will be denoted by $\hat{A}$ (resp. by $\hat{A}_N$). We set

$$\hat{A}_\infty := \bigcup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \hat{A}_N \subset \hat{A}.$$

Remark 6.9 The noetherian ring $\widehat{A}_N$ consists of Laurent power series of $\theta^{1/N}$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[\tau^{\pm 1}]$. The ring $\widehat{A}$ consists of formal infinite sums

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \theta^{s_i}, \quad a_i \in \mathbb{Z}[\tau^{\pm 1}],$$

where $s_1 < s_2 < \dots$ is a sequence of rational numbers having the property

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} s_i = \infty.$$

Definition 6.10 Let $W \subset V$ is a constructible subset in a complex quasi-projective algebraic variety V . Assume that

$$W = W_1 \cup \dots \cup W_k$$

is a union of pairwise nonintersecting Zariski locally closed subsets $W_1, \dots, W_k$. Then we define **E -polynomial of W** as follows:

$$E(W; u, v) := \sum_{i=1}^k E(W_i; u, v).$$

Remark 6.11 Using 2.1(i), it is easy to check that the above definition does not depend on the choice of the decomposition of W into a finite union of pairwise nonintersecting Zariski locally closed subsets.

Now we define a **non-Archimedean cylinder set measure** on $J_{\infty}(X)$.

Definition 6.12 $C \subset J_{\infty}(X)$ be a cylinder set. We define the **non-Archimedean volume** $Vol_X(C) \in A_1$ of C by the following formula:

$$Vol_X(C) := E(B_l(C); \tau\theta^{-1}, \tau^{-1}\theta^{-1})\theta^{(l+1)2n} \in A_1,$$

where $C = \pi_l^{-1}(B_l(C))$ and $E(B_l(C); u, v)$ is the E -polynomial of the l -base $B_l(C) \subset J_l(X)$. If $C = \emptyset$, we set $Vol_X(C) := 0$.

Proposition 6.13 *The definition of $Vol_X(C)$ does not depend on the choice of an l -base $B_l(C)$.*

Proof. By 2.1(iii) and 6.2(i),

$$\begin{aligned} E(B_{l+1}(C); \tau\theta^{-1}, \tau^{-1}\theta^{-1}) &= E(\mathbb{C}^n; \tau\theta^{-1}, \tau^{-1}\theta^{-1}) \cdot E(B_l(C); \tau\theta^{-1}, \tau^{-1}\theta^{-1}) = \\ &= \frac{1}{(\theta^2)^n} E(B_l(C); \tau\theta^{-1}, \tau^{-1}\theta^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

This implies the required independence on l by induction arguments. □

Proposition 6.14 *The non-Archimedean cylinder set measure has the following properties:*

(i) *If Z_1 and Z_2 are two cylinder sets such that $Z_1 \subset Z_2$, then*

$$\|Vol_X(Z_1)\| \leq \|Vol_X(Z_2)\|.$$

(ii) *If $Z_1, \dots, Z_k$ are cylinder sets, then*

$$\|Vol_X(Z_1 \cup \dots \cup Z_k)\| = \max_{i=1}^k \|Vol_X(Z_i)\|.$$

Proof. (i) It follows from 6.10 that for any constructible set W one has

$$\|E(W; \tau\theta^{-1}, \tau^{-1}\theta^{-1})\| = e^{2\dim W}.$$

Therefore

$$\|Vol_X(Z_i)\| = \|E(B_l(Z_i); \tau\theta^{-1}, \tau^{-1}\theta^{-1})\| e^{-2n(l+1)} = e^{2\dim B_l(Z_i) - 2n(l+1)}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

The inclusion $Z_1 \subset Z_2$ implies that there exists a nonnegative integer l such that $B_l(Z_1) \subset B_l(Z_2)$, i.e., $\dim B_l(Z_1) \leq \dim B_l(Z_2)$. This implies the statement.

(ii) Using our arguments in (i), it remains to remark that

$$\dim(W_1 \cup \dots \cup W_k) = \max_{i=1}^k \dim W_i.$$

□

Remark 6.15 It follows immediately from 2.1(i) that

$$Vol_X(C) = Vol_X(C_1) + \dots + Vol_X(C_k)$$

if C is a finite disjoint union of cylinder sets $C_1, \dots, C_k$. This shows that $Vol_X(\cdot)$ is a finitely additive measure. The next example shows that an extension of $Vol_X(\cdot)$ to a countable additive measure with values in $\widehat{A}_1$ needs additional accuracy.

Example 6.16 Let $C \subset R = \mathbb{C}[[t]]$ be the set consisting of all power series $\sum_{i \geq 0} a_i t^i$ such that $a_i \neq 0$ for all $i \geq 0$. For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we define $C_k \subset R$ to be the set consisting of all power series $\sum_{i \geq 0} a_i t^i$ such that $a_i \neq 0$ for all $0 \leq i \leq k$. We identify R with $J_\infty(\mathbb{C})$. Then every $C_k \subset J_\infty(\mathbb{C})$ is a cylinder set and $Vol_{\mathbb{C}}(C_k) = (\theta^2 - 1)^{k+1}$. Moreover, we have

$$C_0 \supset C_1 \supset C_2 \supset \dots, \quad \text{and} \quad C = \bigcap_{k \geq 0} C_k.$$

However, the sequence

$$Vol_{\mathbb{C}}(C_0), Vol_{\mathbb{C}}(C_1), Vol_{\mathbb{C}}(C_2), \dots$$

does not converge in $\widehat{A}_1$.

Definition 6.17 We say that a subset $C \subset J_\infty(X)$ is **measurable** if for any positive real number ε there exists a sequence of cylinder sets $C_0(\varepsilon), C_1(\varepsilon), C_2(\varepsilon), \dots$ such that

$$(C \Delta C_0(\varepsilon)) \subset \bigcup_{i \geq 1} C_i(\varepsilon)$$

and $\|Vol_X(C_i(\varepsilon))\| < \varepsilon$ for all $i \geq 1$. If C is measurable, then the element

$$Vol_X(C) := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} C_0(\varepsilon) \in \widehat{A}_1$$

will be called the **non-Archimedian volume** of C .

Theorem 6.18 *In the above definition, the limit $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} C_0(\varepsilon)$ exists and does not depend on the choice of the sequences $C_0(\varepsilon), C_1(\varepsilon), C_2(\varepsilon), \dots$.*

Proof. Let C be a measurable set. For two positive real numbers $\varepsilon, \varepsilon'$ we choose two sequences

$$C_0(\varepsilon), C_1(\varepsilon), C_2(\varepsilon), \dots \quad \text{and} \quad C'_0(\varepsilon'), C'_1(\varepsilon'), C'_2(\varepsilon'), \dots,$$

such that

$$\|Vol_X(C_i(\varepsilon))\| < \varepsilon, \quad \|Vol_X(C'_i(\varepsilon'))\| < \varepsilon' \quad (\forall i \geq 1),$$

and

$$(C \Delta C_0(\varepsilon)) \subset \bigcup_{i \geq 1} C_i(\varepsilon), \quad (C \Delta C'_0(\varepsilon')) \subset \bigcup_{i \geq 1} C'_i(\varepsilon').$$

Then we have

$$(C_0(\varepsilon) \Delta C'_0(\varepsilon')) \subset \left(\bigcup_{i \geq 1} C_i(\varepsilon) \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{i \geq 1} C'_i(\varepsilon') \right).$$

Since $(C_0(\varepsilon) \Delta C'_0(\varepsilon'))$ is a cylinder set, it follows from 6.6 that there exist two positive integers $L(\varepsilon)$ and $L(\varepsilon')$ such that

$$(C_0(\varepsilon) \Delta C'_0(\varepsilon')) \subset \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{L(\varepsilon)} C_i(\varepsilon) \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{L(\varepsilon')} C'_i(\varepsilon') \right).$$

By 6.14, we obtain

$$\|Vol_X(C_0(\varepsilon) \Delta C'_0(\varepsilon'))\| \leq \max\{\varepsilon, \varepsilon'\}.$$

Using the inclusions

$$C_0(\varepsilon) \setminus (C_0(\varepsilon) \cap C'_0(\varepsilon')) \subset (C_0(\varepsilon) \Delta C'_0(\varepsilon')), \quad C'_0(\varepsilon') \setminus (C_0(\varepsilon) \cap C'_0(\varepsilon')) \subset (C_0(\varepsilon) \Delta C'_0(\varepsilon')),$$

we obtain the inequalities

$$\|Vol_X(C_0(\varepsilon) \setminus (C_0(\varepsilon) \cap C'_0(\varepsilon')))\|, \quad \|Vol_X(C'_0(\varepsilon') \setminus (C_0(\varepsilon) \cap C'_0(\varepsilon')))\| \leq \max\{\varepsilon, \varepsilon'\}.$$

Using 6.15 and disjoint union decompositions $C_0(\varepsilon) = (C_0(\varepsilon) \setminus (C_0(\varepsilon) \cap C'_0(\varepsilon'))) \cup (C_0(\varepsilon) \cap C'_0(\varepsilon'))$ and $C'_0(\varepsilon') = (C'_0(\varepsilon') \setminus (C_0(\varepsilon) \cap C'_0(\varepsilon'))) \cup (C_0(\varepsilon) \cap C'_0(\varepsilon'))$, we conclude that

$$\|Vol_X C_0(\varepsilon) - Vol_X C'_0(\varepsilon')\| \leq \max\{\varepsilon, \varepsilon'\}.$$

Now standard arguments show that both limits

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} C_0(\varepsilon), \quad \lim_{\varepsilon' \rightarrow 0} C_0(\varepsilon')$$

exist and coincide. □

The proof of the following statement is a standard exercise:

Proposition 6.19 *Measurable sets possess the following properties:*

- (i) *Finite unions, finite intersections of measurable sets are measurable.*
- (ii) *If C is a disjoint union of nonintersecting measurable sets $C_1, \dots, C_m$, then*

$$\text{Vol}_X(C) = \text{Vol}_X(C_1) + \dots + \text{Vol}_X(C_m).$$

- (iii) *If C is measurable, then the complement $\overline{C} := J_\infty(X) \setminus C$ is measurable.*
- (iv) *If $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_m, \dots$ is an infinite sequence of nonintersecting measurable sets having the*

property

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|\text{Vol}_X(C_i)\| = 0,$$

then

$$C = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i$$

is measurable and

$$\text{Vol}_X(C) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{Vol}_X(C_i).$$

Definition 6.20 We shall say that a subset $C \subset J_\infty(X)$ has **measure zero** if for any positive real number ε there exists a sequence of cylinder sets $C_1(\varepsilon), C_2(\varepsilon), \dots$ such that $C \subset \bigcup_{i \geq 1} C_i(\varepsilon)$ and $\|\text{Vol}_X(C_i(\varepsilon))\| < \varepsilon$ for all $i \geq 1$.

Definition 6.21 Let $Z \subset X$ be a Zariski closed algebraic subvariety. For any point $x \in Z$, we denote by $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ the ring of germs of holomorphic functions at x . Let $I_{Z,x} \subset \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ be the ideal of germs of holomorphic functions vanishing on Z . We set

$$J_l(Z, x) := \{y \in J_l(X, x) : g(y) = 0 \ \forall g \in I_{Z,x}\}, \quad l \geq 1,$$

$$J_\infty(Z, x) := \{y \in J_\infty(X, x) : g(y) = 0 \ \forall g \in I_{Z,x}\}$$

and

$$J_\infty(Z) := \bigcup_{x \in Z} J_\infty(Z, x), \quad J_\infty(X, Z) := J_\infty(X) \setminus J_\infty(Z).$$

The space $J_\infty(Z) \subset J_\infty(X)$ will be called **space of arcs with values in Z** . If $W \subset X$ is a Zariski locally closed subset, i.e., $W = Z \cap U$ for some closed Z and open U , then we set

$$J_\infty(X, W) := J_\infty(Z) \cap J_\infty(X, X \setminus U).$$

Proposition 6.22 *Let W be an arbitrary Zariski locally closed subset in a smooth irreducible quasi-projective manifold X . Then $J_\infty(X, W) \subset J_\infty(X)$ is measurable. Moreover, one has*

$$\text{Vol}_X(J_\infty(X, W)) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \dim W < \dim X \\ \text{Vol}_X(J_\infty(X)) & \text{if } \dim W = \dim X. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Using 6.19, one immediately obtains that it suffices to prove the statement only in the case when X is affine and W is smooth irreducible subvariety of codimension 1. Then $B_l(Z) := \pi_l(J_\infty(Z)) \subset J_l(X)$ is a complete intersection of $(l+1)$ divisors, i.e., $\dim B_l(Z) = (n-1)(l+1)$. Let C_l be the cylinder sets with the l -base $B_l(Z)$. Then C_l contains $J_\infty(W)$ and $\|\text{Vol}_X(C_l)\| = e^{-2(l+1)}$. Taking l arbitrary large, we obtain that $\|\text{Vol}_X(J_\infty(W))\| = 0$. $\square$

Definition 6.23 A function $F : J_\infty(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$ is called **measurable**, if $F^{-1}(s)$ is measurable for all $s \in \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$.

Definition 6.24 A measurable function $F : J_\infty(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$ is called **exponentially integrable** if $F^{-1}(\infty)$ has measure zero and the series

$$\sum_{s \in \mathbb{Q}} \|\text{Vol}_X(F^{-1}(s))\| e^{-2s}$$

is convergent. If F is exponentially integrable, then the sum

$$\int_{J_\infty(X)} e^{-F} := \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Q}} \text{Vol}_X(F^{-1}(s)) \theta^{2s} \in \widehat{A}$$

will be called the **exponential integral of F over $J_\infty(X)$** .

Definition 6.25 Let $D \subset \text{Div}(X)$ be a subvariety of codimension 1, $x \in D$ a point, and $g \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ the local equation for D at x . For any $y \in J_\infty(X, D)$, we denote by $\langle D, y \rangle_x$ the order of $g(y)$ at $t = 0$. The number $\langle D, y \rangle_x$ will be called the **intersection number** of D and y at $x \in X$.

We define the function

$$F_D : J_\infty(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$$

as follows:

$$F_D(y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \pi_0(y) = x \notin D \\ \langle D, y \rangle_x & \text{if } \pi_0(y) \in D, \text{ but } y \notin J_\infty(D) \\ \infty & \text{if } y \in J_\infty(D) \end{cases}$$

If $D = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i D_i \in \text{Div}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor (i.e., $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ and D_i is irreducible subvariety $\forall i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$), we set

$$F_D := \sum_{i=1}^m a_i F_{D_i},$$

where the symbol ∞ is assumed to have the properties $\infty \pm \infty = \infty$, $s \cdot \infty = \infty$ ($\forall s \in \mathbb{Q}$).

Proposition 6.26 *Let $D \subset \text{Div}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ be an arbitrary $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor. Then the function*

$$F_D : J_\infty(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$$

is mesurable. Moreover, one has

$$\int_{J_\infty(X)} e^{-F_{D_1+D_2}} = \int_{J_\infty(X)} e^{-F_{D_1}-F_{D_2}} \quad \forall D_1, D_2 \in \text{Div}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

provided both integrals exist.

Proof. If $D \subset \text{Div}(X)$ be a subvariety of codimension 1, then there exists a finite open covering by affine subsets $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^k U_i$ such that $D \cap U_i \subset U_i$ is a principal divisor (f_i) . Since each subset $J_\infty(U_i) \subset J_\infty(X)$ is a cylinder set, it suffices to show that the $F_D^{-1}(l) \cap J_\infty(U_i)$ is a cylinder set with some $(l+1)$ -base for all $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ (cf. 6.2(ii)). The latter follows directly from a local definition of $\langle D, \cdot \rangle$. The rest of the proof follows from the equality:

$$F^{-1}(s) = \sum_{s_1+s_2=s} F_1^{-1}(s_1) \cap F_2^{-1}(s_2),$$

if $F = F_1 + F_2$. □

Theorem 6.27 *Let $\rho : X' \rightarrow X$ be a projective morphism of smooth complex varieties, $W = \sum_{i=1}^r e_i W_i$ the Cartier divisor defined by the equality*

$$K_{X'} = \rho^* K_X + \sum_{i=1}^r e_i W_i.$$

Then a function $F : J_\infty(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$ is exponentially integrable if and only if $F \circ \rho + F_W$ is exponentially integrable. Moreover, if the latter holds, then

$$\int_{J_\infty(X)} e^{-F} = \int_{J_\infty(X')} e^{-F \circ \rho - F_W}.$$

Proof. First we remark that if $C \subset J_\infty(X)$ is a cylinder set, then $\rho^{-1}(C) \subset J_\infty(X')$ is again a cylinder set. Moreover, since $\rho : X' \rightarrow X$ is projective and birational, the induced mapping of the spaces of arcs establishes a bijection

$$J_\infty(X') \setminus J_\infty(W_1 \cup \dots \cup W_r) \leftrightarrow J_\infty(X) \setminus J_\infty(\rho(W_1 \cup \dots \cup W_r)).$$

For any integer $k \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$, we denote by $U_k(X', W)$ the cylinder set consisting of all arcs $y' \in J_\infty(X')$ such that $F_W(y') = k$. Then for any cylinder $C \subset J_\infty(X)$ we have a disjoint union decomposition:

$$\rho^{-1}(C) = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}} \rho^{-1}(C) \cap U_k(X', W).$$

In particular, if we choose $s \in \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$ and set

$$C(k, s) := \rho^{-1}(F^{-1}(s)) \cap U_k(X', W),$$

then we obtain

$$(F \circ \rho)^{-1}(s) = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}} C(k, s) \text{ and } F^{-1}(s) = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}} \rho(C(k, s)).$$

The key observation is the fact that $k = F_W(y')$ is the order of vanishing of the Jacobian of ρ at any arc $y' \in U_k(X', W)$. Using this fact, we obtain

$$\text{Vol}_X(\rho(C(k, s))) = \theta^{2k} \text{Vol}_{X'}(C(k, s)).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}} \|\text{Vol}_X(F^{-1}(s))\| e^{-2s} &= \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}} \|\text{Vol}_X(\rho(C(k, s)))\| e^{-2s} = \\ &= \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}} \|\text{Vol}_{X'}(C(k, s))\| e^{-2s+2k} = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}} \|\text{Vol}_{X'}((\rho \circ F + F_W)^{-1}(s))\| e^{-2s}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that the sum

$$\sum_{s \in \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}} \|\text{Vol}_X(F^{-1}(s))\| e^{-2s}$$

converges if and only if the sum

$$\sum_{s \in \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}} \|\text{Vol}_{X'}((\rho \circ F + F_W)^{-1}(s))\| e^{-2s}$$

converges. In the latter case we obtain the equality

$$\sum_{s \in \mathbb{Q}} \text{Vol}_X(F^{-1}(s)) \theta^{-2s} = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Q}} \text{Vol}_{X'}((\rho \circ F + F_W)^{-1}(s)) \theta^{-2s}.$$

□

Theorem 6.28 *Let $D := a_1 D_1 + \dots + a_r D_r \in \text{Div}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor. Assume that the subset of all irreducible components D_i with $a_i \neq 0$ form a system of normal crossing divisors. Then F_D is exponentially integrable on $J_\infty(X)$ if and only $a_i > -1$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$. If the latter holds, then*

$$\int_{J_\infty(X)} e^{-F_D} = \sum_{J \subset I} E(D_J^\circ; \tau \theta^{-1}, \tau^{-1} \theta^{-1}) (\theta^{-2} - 1)^{|J|} \prod_{j \in J} \frac{1}{1 - \theta^{2(1+a_j)}}$$

Proof. Denote by $J_\infty(D) \subset J_\infty(X)$ the subset of all arcs contained in the union $D_1 \cup \dots \cup D_r$. Since $\text{Vol}_X(J_\infty(D)) = 0$, it is sufficient to investigate the exponential integral of F_D only over $J_\infty(X, D) := J_\infty(X) \setminus J_\infty(D)$. For any $(m_1, \dots, m_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r$, we denote

$$U_{m_1, \dots, m_r}(X, D) := \{y \in J_\infty(X, D) : \langle D_1, y \rangle = m_1, \dots, \langle D_r, y \rangle = m_r\}.$$

If $J \subset I := \{1, \dots, r\}$, then we set

$$U(X, D_J^\circ) := \{y \in J_\infty(X, D) : \pi_0(y) \in D_J^\circ\}$$

and

$$M_J := \{(m_1, \dots, m_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r : m_j > 0 \Leftrightarrow j \in J\}.$$

Thus, we obtain the following two stratifications of $J_\infty(X, D)$:

$$J_\infty(X, D) := \bigcup_{J \subset I} U(X, D_J^\circ)$$

and

$$J_\infty(X, D) := \bigcup_{(m_1, \dots, m_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r} U_{m_1, \dots, m_r}(X, D),$$

where

$$U(X, D_J^\circ) = \bigcup_{(m_1, \dots, m_r) \in M_J} U_{m_1, \dots, m_r}(X, D).$$

We remark that the value of F_D on the cylinder set $U_{m_1, \dots, m_r}(X, D)$ equals $\sum_{j \in J} 2(m_j + 1)a_j$. On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Vol}_X(U_{m_1, \dots, m_r}(X, D)) &= E(D_J^\circ; \tau\theta^{-1}, \tau^{-1}\theta^{-1}) \prod_{l=1}^r (\theta^{-2} - 1)\theta^{2(m_l+1)} = \\ &= E(D_J^\circ; \tau\theta^{-1}, \tau^{-1}\theta^{-1}) (\theta^{-2} - 1)^{|J|} \prod_{j \in J} \theta^{2(m_j+1)}, \quad \text{if } (m_1, \dots, m_r) \in M_J. \end{aligned}$$

The function F_D is exponentially integrable if and only if the series

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{J \subset I} \sum_{(m_1, \dots, m_r) \in M_J} \|\text{Vol}_X(U_{m_1, \dots, m_r}(X, D))\| \prod_{l=1}^r e^{-2(m_l+1)a_l} = \\ = \sum_{J \subset I} \sum_{(m_1, \dots, m_r) \in M_J} e^{n-|J|+r} \prod_{l=1}^r e^{-2(m_l+1)(a_l+1)} \end{aligned}$$

is convergent. The latter holds if and only if $a_l + 1 > 0$ for all $l \in \{1, \dots, r\}$. Using the stratifications $U(X, D_J^\circ)$ by $U_{m_1, \dots, m_r}(X, D)$, we can rewrite the integral as follows

$$\int_{J_\infty(X)} e^{-F_D} = \sum_{J \subset I} E(D_J^\circ; \tau\theta^{-1}, \tau^{-1}\theta^{-1}) (\theta^{-2} - 1)^{|J|} \sum_{m \in M_J} \prod_{j \in J} \theta^{2(m_j+1)(1+a_j)}.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 3.4: Let $\rho_1 : Y_1 \rightarrow X$ and $\rho_2 : Y_2 \rightarrow X$ be two resolutions of singularities such that

$$K_{Y_1} = \rho_1^* K_X + D_1, \quad K_{Y_2} = \rho_2^* K_X + D_2$$

where

$$D_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{r_1} a'_i D'_i \quad \text{and} \quad D_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{r_2} a''_i D''_i, \quad (a'_i, a''_i > -1).$$

Choosing a resolution of singularities $\rho_0 : Y_0 \rightarrow X$ which dominates both resolutions ρ_1 and ρ_2 , we obtain two morphisms $\alpha_1 : Y_0 \rightarrow Y_1$ and $\alpha_2 : Y_0 \rightarrow Y_2$ such that $\rho_0 = \rho_1 \circ \alpha_1 = \rho_2 \circ \alpha_2$. We set $F = F_{K_{Y_0} - \rho_0^* K_X}$. Since

$$K_{Y_0} - \rho_0^* K_X = (K_{Y_0} - \alpha_i^* K_{Y_i}) + \alpha_i^* D_i, \quad (i = 1, 2),$$

we obtain

$$\int_{J_\infty(Y_1)} e^{-F_{D_1}} = \int_{J_\infty(Y_0)} e^{-F} = \int_{J_\infty(Y_2)} e^{-F_{D_2}} \quad (\text{see 6.27}).$$

On the other hand, by 6.28, we have

$$\int_{J_\infty(Y_i)} e^{-F_{D_i}} = E_{\text{st}}(X; \tau\theta^{-1}, \tau^{-1}\theta^{-1}), \quad i \in \{1, 2\}.$$

Making the substitutions

$$u = \tau\theta^{-1}, v = \tau^{-1}\theta^{-1},$$

we obtain that the definition of the stringy E -function $E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v)$ doesn't depend on the choice of resolutions ρ_1 and ρ_2 . □

Now we can deduce a Hodge-theoretic version of the results in [6]:

Corollary 6.29 *If two smooth n -dimensional projective varieties X_1 and X_2 having trivial canonical classes are birationally isomorphic, then the Hodge numbers of X_1 and X_2 are the same.*

Proof. Let $\alpha : X_1 \dashrightarrow X_2$ be birational map. By Hironaka's theorem we can resolve the indeterminacy locus of α and construct some projective birational morphisms

$$\beta_1 : X \rightarrow X_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_2 : X \rightarrow X_2$$

such that $\alpha = \beta_2 \circ \beta_1^{-1}$. Since the canonical classes of both X_1 and X_2 are trivial, it follows from 6.27 that

$$\int_{J_\infty(X_1)} e^0 = \int_{J_\infty(X)} e^{-F_W} = \int_{J_\infty(X_2)} e^0,$$

where W is the canonical class of X . On the other hand,

$$\int_{J_\infty(X_i)} e^0 = \text{Vol}_{X_i}(J_\infty(X_i)) = E(X_i; \tau\theta^{-1}, \tau^{-1}\theta^{-1})\theta^{2n} \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

This implies the equality for the Hodge numbers of X_1 and X_2 . □

References

- [1] V.V. Batyrev, *Dual polyhedra and mirror symmetry for Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces in toric varieties*, J. Algebraic Geom., **3** (1994), 493-535.
- [2] V.V. Batyrev and D. van Straten, *Generalized Hypergeometric Functions and Rational Curves on Calabi-Yau complete intersections in Toric Varieties*, Commun. Math. Phys. **168** (1995), 493-533.

- [3] V.V. Batyrev, D. Dais, *Strong McKay Correspondence, String-Theoretic Hodge Numbers and Mirror Symmetry*, Topology, **35** (1996), 901-929.
- [4] V.V. Batyrev, L.A. Borisov, *Dual Cones and Mirror Symmetry for Generalized Calabi-Yau Manifolds*, in *Mirror Symmetry II*, (eds. S.-T. Yau), pp.65-80 (1995).
- [5] V.V. Batyrev, L.A. Borisov, *Mirror Duality and String-Theoretic Hodge Numbers*, Invent. Math., **126** (1996), 183-203.
- [6] V.V. Batyrev, *Birational Calabi-Yau n -folds have equal Betti numbers*, to appear in Proc. European Algebraic Geometry Conference (Warwick, 1996), alg-geom/9710020.
- [7] V.V. Batyrev, *Stringy Hodge Numbers and Virasoro Algebra*, alg-geom/9711019.
- [8] L.A. Borisov, *Towards the Mirror Symmetry for Calabi-Yau Complete Intersections in Toric Varieties*. University of Michigan, Preprint 1993, alg-geom/9310001.
- [9] L.A. Borisov, *On the Betti numbers and Chern classes of varieties with trivial odd cohomology groups*, Preprint 1997, alg-geom/9703023.
- [10] V.I. Danilov, A.G. Khovansky, *Newton Polyhedra and an Algorithm for Computing Hodge-Deligne Numbers*, Math. USSR Izvestiya, **29** (1987), 279-298.
- [11] P. Deligne, *Theorie de Hodge*. III, Publ. Math. IHES, **44** (1974), 5-77.
- [12] J. Denef, *On the degree of Igusa's local zeta function*, Amer. J. Math. **109** (1987), 991-1008.
- [13] J. Denef, *Local zeta functions and Euler characteristics*, Duke Math. J. **63** (1991), 713-721.
- [14] J. Denef and F. Loeser, *Caractéristiques d'Euler-Poincaré, fonctions zêta locales et modifications analytiques*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., **5** (1992), 705-720.
- [15] J. Denef and F. Loeser, *Germes of arcs on singular algebraic varieties and motivic integration*, to appear in *Inventiones Mathematicae*.
- [16] J. Denef and F. Loeser, *Motivic Igusa zeta functions*, to appear in *Journal of Algebraic Geometry*.
- [17] T. Eguchi, K. Hori and Ch.-Sh. Xiong, *Quantum cohomology and Virasoro algebra*, Phys. Lett. B402 (1997), 71-80.
- [18] T. Eguchi, M. Jinzenji and Ch.-Sh. Xiong, *Quantum Cohomology and Free Field Representation*, hep-th/9709152.
- [19] I.M. Gel'fand, N. Ya. Vilenkin, *Generalized Functions*, Vol. 4 (Applications of Harmonic Analysis), Academic Press, New York, London, 1964.
- [20] M. Green, Ph. Griffiths, *Two Applications of Algebraic Geometry to Entire Holomorphic Mappings*, in: The Chern Symposium, Springer 1980, 41-74.
- [21] B. Greene, R. Plesser, *Duality in Calabi-Yau moduli space*, Nucl. Phys. **B 338** (1991), 15-37.
- [22] A. Grothendieck, J.A. Dieudonné, *Eléments de Géométrie Algébrique I*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften. 166. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, Springer-Verlag. IX, (1971).

- [23] Y. Kawamata, K. Matsuda and K. Matsuki, *Introduction to the Minimal Model Program*, Adv. Studies in Pure Math. **10** (1987), 283-360.
- [24] J. Kollár, *Flips and abundance for algebraic threefolds*, Summer Seminar, Salt Lake City, 1991, Astérisque **211** (1992).
- [25] M. Kontsevich, Lecture at Orsay (December 7. 1995).
- [26] A.S. Libgober and J.W. Wood, *Uniqueness of the complex structure on Kahler manifolds of certain homotopy types*, J. Diff. Geom. **32** no. 1, (1990) 139–154.
- [27] D.R. Morrison, *The Geometry Underlying Mirror Symmetry*, to appear in Proc. European Algebraic Geometry Conference (Warwick, 1996), alg-geom/9608006
- [28] M. Reid, *Decomposition of Toric Morphisms*, in: *Arithmetic and geometry*, Vol. II, Progr. Math., 36, Birkhauser Boston, Boston, Mass. (1983), pp. 395–418.
- [29] S. Sethi, C. Vafa, and E. Witten, *Constraints on Low-Dimensional String Compactifications*, Nucl.Phys. **B480** (1996), 213-224.
- [30] E. Witten, *Mirror manifolds and Topological Field Theory*, in *Essey on Mirror Manifolds*, ed. S.-T. Yau, Intern. Press (1992), pp. 120-159.